

REMARKS

In the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 22-24 as unpatentable. In addition, the Examiner allowed claims 31 and 32 and maintained that claims 25 and 26 would be allowed if written in independent format including all of the limitations of the base claim and any
5 intervening claim. In the above amended claims, claims 31, 39, and 46 are independent. Claim 31 has been previously allowed. Claim 39 is claim 25 written in independent format wherein the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims are incorporated. And, claim 46 is claim 26 also written in independent format wherein the limitations of the base claim and intervening
10 claims are incorporated. Dependent claims 33-38, 40-45 and 47-52 have also been added. These additional dependent claims, however, do not add any new subject matter. Applicant has cancelled all rejected claims and believes that the application is now in condition for allowance.


If there are any matters that can be resolved through a telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicant's undersigned representative.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Dated: July 5, 2005

By: _____



Joseph A. Herndon
Reg. No. 50,469